

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,209	NISHIHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seungsook Ham	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Seungsook Ham.

(2) Rustan J. Hill.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3/24/05, 3/28/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 103

Claims discussed:
1


Prior art documents discussed:
Taniguchi et al. (US 2003/0058066A1)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed over the difference between a die-attach portion X in Taniguchi et al. (fig. 3) and a die-attach face A in applicant's claimed invention (see figs. 9 and 10). The examiner suggested to amend the claim 1 by inserting "under the filter element" in line 18. The applicant agreed to amend the claim accordingly. .